PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

| (51) International Patent Classification 6; | T | UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATIO | ON TREATY (PCT) |
|--|-------------------|---|---|
| A61K 7/16, 7/22, 9/16, 31/155 | A1 | (11) International Publication Number: | WO 96/1166 |
| | | (43) International Publication Date: | 25 April 1996 (25.04.9 |
| (21) International Application Number: PCT/US (22) International Filing Date: 11 October 1995 (1) | | CH, CN, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LI MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ | , FI, GB, GE, HU, IS, Л R, LT, LU, LV, MD, MC |
| (30) Priority Data: 322,926 13 October 1994 (13.10.94) | U | SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TT, UA, U patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, E: MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD MW, SD, SZ, UG). | IG, US, UZ, VN, Europea S, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LL GBF, BL, CF, CG, CL, CN |
| (60) Parent Application or Grant (63) Related by Continuation | | | |
| US 322,926 Filed on 13 October 1994 (1 | 5 (CON 3.10.94 | Published With international search report. | |
| (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): GIL CANADA INC. [CA/CA]; 16700 Trans Canada, K Quebec H9H 4YB (CA). | LETTI | 3. | |
| (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): MASTERMAN, T Craig [US/US]; 35 Myrtle Street #3, Boston, MA (US). SPENCER, Jean, L. [US/US]; 19 Middle Str Boston, MA 02127 (US). | mil | 1 J | |
| 74) Agents: HANDELMAN, Joseph, H.; Ladas & Parry, 2 61st Street, New York, NY 10023 (US) et al. | 6 West | | |
| 54) Title: PARTICLES INCLUDING DEGRADARIE MA | | | |

(34) MIGE: PARTICLES INCLUDING DEGRADABLE MATERIAL AND ANTI-MICROBIAL AGENT

(57) Abstract

A method for inhibiting bacteria in the mouth of a patient which includes placing a particle containing a degradable material and an anti-microbial agent in the mouth of the patient. The exterior of the particle is water-stable. The particles may be coated on dental floss or the bristles of a toothbrush, or incorporated into an oral rinse. Once placed in the mouth, the degradable material degrades to cause release of the anti-microbial agent, resulting in the inhibition of bacteria in the mouth.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

| AT | Austria | GB | United Kingdom | MR | Mauritania |
|----------|--------------------------|------|------------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| AU | Awatralia | GE | Georgia | MW | Malawi |
| BB | Barbados | GN | Guinea | NE | Niger |
| BE | Belgium | GR | Greece | NL | Netherlands |
| BF | Burkina Faso | HU | Hungary | NO | Norway |
| BG | Bulgaria | IE | treland | NZ | New Zealand |
| BJ | Benin | IT | Italy | PL | Poland |
| BR | Brazil | JP | Japan | PT | Portugal |
| BY | Belarus | KE | Kenya | RO | Romania |
| CA | Canada | KG | Kyrgystan | RU | Russian Federation |
| CF CF | Central African Republic | KP | Democratic People's Republic | SD | Sudan |
| CG | Congo | | of Korea | SE | Sweden |
| CH | Switzerland | KR | Republic of Korea | SI | Slovenia |
| CI | Côte d'Ivoire | KZ | Kazakhstan | SK | Slovakia |
| CM | Cameroon | ü | Liechtenstein | SN | Senegal |
| CN | China | LK | Sri Lanka | TD | Chad |
| CS | Czechoslovakia | LU | Luxembourg | TG | Togo |
| CZ | Czech Republic | ĹŸ | Larvia | TJ | Tajikistan |
| DE | Germany | MC | Monaco | 17 | Trinidad and Tobago |
| DK | Denmark | MD | Republic of Moldova | UA | Ukraine |
| ES | Spain | MG | Madagascar | US | United States of America |
| | Span Finland | ML | Mali | UZ | Uzbekistan |
| FI FR | France | MN | Mongolia | VN | Viet Nam |
| GA | Gabon | 1441 | | | |

- 1 -

PARTICLES INCLUDING DEGRADABLE MATERIAL AND ANTI-MICROBIAL AGENT

The invention relates to systems for delivering anti-microbial agents to the mouth.

5

10

15

Many humans suffer from tooth decay and periodontal disease caused by bacteria in the mouth. As a result, decreasing the number of these bacteria is a problem which has been targeted by members of the dental and health care fields. The most common way of minimizing the number of bacteria is to brush and floss the teeth regularly, and to visit a dental hygienist to have the teeth and gums cleaned thoroughly. Another approach to control bacteria in the mouth is to rinse with a solution containing an effective anti-microbial agent, such as

One of the major side effects of rinsing with a chlorhexidene-based solution is a yellow-brown stain which may develop on the teeth, tongue, and fillings. Although this stain can usually be professionally removed, it is not cosmetically pleasing. In addition to the staining, taste disturbances, such as the perception of sweets and salt, may develop due to the presence of chlorhexidene. In certain patients, scaling and soreness of the oral

chlorhexidene digluconate.

- 2 -

mucosa may occur. These side effects have been attributed to the high concentration of chlorhexidene (or salt thereof) used in the rinse; it is, therefore, desirable to reduce or control the dosage of chlorhexidene so that anti-microbial effects can be achieved without the onset of undesirable side effects.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

In general, the invention features a method for inhibiting bacteria in the mouth of a patient. The method includes placing a particle containing a degradable material and an antimicrobial agent into the mouth of a patient. The saliva in the mouth causes the degradable material in the particle to degrade, resulting in the release of the anti-microbial agent in a controlled manner over time. The exterior of the particle is water-stable allowing the particles to be incorporated into, for example, aqueous rinses or pastes without the water in the rinse or paste causing the degradable material to degrade prematurely, prior to use.

In one embodiment, the particle is provided with a coating composed of a water-stable material that does not degrade when exposed to one or more enzymes in the mouth. These materials include hydrophobic materials like poly(methyl methacrylate), polystyrene, beeswax, carnauba wax, petroleum wax, or similar materials. The coating is disrupted by mechanical stresses (e.g., brushing, flossing, and chewing); this disruption exposes the underlying degradable material to saliva. As a result, the degradable material degrades, causing the anti-microbial agent to be released.

In another embodiment, the particle is provided with a coating of a water-stable material that degrad s when exposed to on or

5

more enzymes in the mouth. Examples of such materials include polyhydroxyalkanoic acid, glycolipids, glycerides, and phospholipids. As the water-stable material degrades by the action of enzymes in the mouth, the underlying degradable material is exposed to saliva and also degrades, resulting in the release of the anti-microbial agent. The water-stable material may also be disrupted by mechanical stresses.

- In another embodiment, the particle includes a water-stable material, dispersed throughout the particle, that degrades when exposed to enzymes in the mouth. In this embodiment, the water-stable material (1)
- functions as the degradable material, and (2) functions to provide the particle with a water-stable exterior. Optionally, the particle may include one or more other degradable materials underneath the water-stable exterior, and also
- may include one or more other water-stable materials that help provide the water-stable exterior. A preferred material that can be used for this embodiment is glycerol distearate.

Preferred anti-microbial agents

25 include phenolic compounds (monomeric or
polymeric, synthetic or natural); nature-derived
anti-microbials such as sanguinarine;
cetylpyridinium salts; benzalkonium salts;
benzethonium salts; domiphen salts;

- bisbiguanides, such as chlorhexidene; bisbiguanide salts; phosphonium salts; ammonium salts; peroxides and other oxidants; zinc salts; and antibiotics such as penicillin, vancomycin, kanamycin, erythromycin, niddamycin, spiramycin,
- 35 tetracycline, minocycline, and metronidazole. Particularly preferred anti-microbial agents include chlorhexidene r an acceptable salt of

- 4 -

chlorhexidene.

5

10

35

preferred degradable materials include polyglycolic acid, polylactic acid, and copolymers of glycolic acid and lactic acid, and esters of glycerol.

In some preferred embodiments, the degradable material encapsulates the antimicrobial agent. In other preferred embodiments, the particle is a microsphere, and the diameter of the microsphere is preferably between 0.05 μm and 100 μm , more preferably between 0.1 μm and 30 μm .

In another aspect, the invention features a toothbrush including a handle and, extending from a portion of the handle, bristles 15 coated with the particles of the invention, or hollow bristles that are partially or totally filled with the particles. In another aspect, the invention features dental floss including an elongated, flexible cord (preferably made of 20 nylon or other durable polymer) coated with the particles of the invention. In still another aspect, the invention features a toothpaste or an oral rinse containing the particles of the invention. The toothpaste generally includes 25 other conventional components such as an abrasive (e.g., silica or alumina, having a particle size of between 5 μm and 50 μm), a thickener (e.g., colloidal silica having a particle size of between 0.1 μm and 1 μm), and a 30 flavor.

"Encapsulate", as used herein, means that the anti-microbial agent is dispersed throughout or surrounded by the degradable material.

"Degradable material", as used herein, means a mat rial which d grades within three

- 5 -

months when placed in the mouth of a typical patient. The materials degrade as a result of exposure to one or more enzymes that commonly are found in the mouth. These enzymes include lipases, proteases, and glucosidases. Specific enzymes include parotid amylase, hyaluronidase, beta-glucuronidase, chondroitin sulfatase, amino acid decarboxylases, catalase, peroxidase (such as lacto peroxidase), collagenase, and lysozyme.

herein, means that the exterior surface of the particle is composed of a material that does not chemically degrade or swell when exposed to water. As a result of the water-stable

15 exterior, substantially no (i.e., less than 5% by weight) anti-microbial agent leaches from the particle when the particle is placed in distilled water (at a concentration of 10% of the dispersion by weight) at room temperature

20 for a month.

"Microsphere", as used herein, means that the particle is substantially spherical in shape.

The particles of the invention can be 25 used to deliver an anti-microbial agent at a predetermined rate for a defined time period. The degradable material essentially allows for continuous inhibition of bacteria in the mouth during the selected time period without 30 necessitating a large initial dosage of the anti-microbial agent. The dosage optionally may be provided in a site-specific manner. water-stable exterior allows the particles to be stored for a substantial period of time, for 35 example in a mouthwash or a toothpaste. When chlorhexiden is s lected as the

anti-micr bial agent, the controlled delivery

10

pr cess f the invention results in a reducti n of undesirable side effects, such as staining of the teeth and tongue. Significantly, when the particles are composed primarily of a degradable material and an anti-microbial agent, substantially nothing remains of the particles in the mouth or body once the degradable material degrades and all of the anti-microbial agent is released.

The preferred particles include a degradable material, an anti-microbial agent, and a thin (e.g., less than 10 μ m) non-porous coating that makes the exterior surface of the particle water-stable.

Preferred degradable materials include 15 polymers such as polycaprolactone, polydecalactone, poly(sebacic anhydride), sebacic acid-co-1,3-bis(carboxyphenoxypropane), sebacic acid-co-1,6-bis(carboxyphenoxyhexane), dedecanoic-co-1,3-bis(carboxyphenoxypropane), 20 dedecancic-co-1,6-bis(carboxyphenoxyhexane), albumin and derivatives, gelatin and derivatives, starch and derivatives, gum arabic, cellulose and derivatives, polysorbate and derivatives, agarose, lectins, galactose, 25 functionalized nylons (e.g. benzylated), proteins (synthetic and natural), polyorthoesters, polyorthoformate, polyureas, polyurethanes, poly(amide-enamine)s, 30

polyvinylalcohol, polyenolketone (PER), polyHema, functionalized polyHema, ethylenevinylacetate copolymers, functionalized polymers and copolymers of lactic and glycolic acid, lactic acid homopolymer, glycolic acid copolymer, copolymers of lactic acid and 35 glycolic acid, polyhydroxybutyrate,

poly(est rimides), functionaliz d silicones,

poly(anhydrides), poly(malic acid), and polyhydroxyalkanoic acid from synthetic or natural sources (bacterial, fungi and the like). Other preferred degradable materials include monomeric species and mixed monomeric/polymeric species such as liposomes, glycolipids, fatty acids, glycerides, carnauba wax, and phospholipids.

The preferred degradable materials can 10 be included in organic/inorganic composites. The composites can include any of the polymers listed above mixed or covalently bound with minerals such as silica, alumina, kaolin, morierite, cordierite, zirconia minerals and the 15 like; any of the monomeric and monomer/polymer species listed above mixed or covalently bound with minerals such as silica, alumina, kaolin, morierite, cordierite, zirconia minerals and the like; proteins bound to silica, titania, and the 20 like; silicon-containing polymers; and polyhydroxyalkanoic acid:salt complexes. inorganic component(s) of the composite can, for instance, assist in controlling the dosage of anti-microbial agent released in a given period 25 of time, or act as an abrasive if used, e.g., in a toothpaste.

The more preferred degradable
materials are polymers such as polyglycolic
acid, polylactic acid, and copolymers of
glycolic acid and lactic acid, and esters of
glycerol. These polymers are well-known and
commercially available. For example,
polyglycolic acid is available from the American
Cyanamid Company (Dexon®) and Polysciences,
Inc.; polylactic acid is available from
P lysciences, Inc.; and copolymers f glyc lic
acid and lactic acid ar available from American

Cyanamid Company (Vicryl), Ethic n, Inc.

(Polyglactin 910) and Polysciences.

Alternatively, the polymers can be synthesized according to known procedures. For example,

5 polyglycolic acid can be prepared employing the ring opening polymerization of the dimeric ester of glycolic acid; polylactic acid can be prepared employing the ring opening polymerization of the dimeric ester of lactic acid; and copolymers of glycolic acid and lactic acid can be prepared employing the ring opening polymerization of the corresponding dimeric esters.

Other preferred degradable polymeric

materials are commercially available and/or may
be prepared by known procedures.

A particularly preferred antimicrobial agent is chlorhexidene, an antibacterial compound which contains two biguanide moieties, each attached in the para position to 20 a separate chlorophenyl group, and joined by a hexane linkage (see, for example, Rose et al., J. Chem Soc., p. 4422 (1956) and U.S. Pat. No. 2,684,924). Pharmaceutically acceptable salts of chlorhexidene, such as chlorhexidene 25 gluconate, chlorhaxidene diacetate, chlorhexidene dihydrochloride, chlorhexidene dihydrofluoride, and chlorhexidene dihydrobromide may also be used in the present invention. Chlorhexidene and its associated 30 salts are commercially available; the gluconate salt may be purchased, for example, as a 20.5 percent w/w aqueous solution from Pliva Pharmaceutical of Zagreb, Yugoslavia, and from ICI Ltd. of England. Chlorhexidene gluconate as 35 a freeze-dried solid is available from Pliva Pharmac utical.

Oth r preferred anti-microbial agents were described above and generally, like chlorhexidene digluconate, are commercially available.

5 The particles preferably include between 1% and 75%, and more preferably between 1% and 25%, of the anti-microbial agent by weight. Too much anti-microbial agent may adversely affect the mechanical strength of the particle, while too little anti-microbial agent may result in an insufficient dosage of the anti-microbial agent being delivered to the mouth.

The thin non-porous (more preferably hydrophobic) coating prevents the anti-microbial agent from leaching from the particle when the particle is stored or incorporated into aqueous systems. Preferred coating materials include poly(methyl methacrylate), polystyrene, beeswax,

carnauba wax, petroleum wax,
polyhydroxylalkanoic acid, glycolipids,
glycerides, phospholipids, and glycerol
distearate. The coating materials may be
materials (like polystyrene, waxes, or

poly(methyl methacrylate) that do not degrade when exposed to enzymes in the mouth, or may be materials (like glycerol distearate, polyhydroxyalkanoic acid, and other glycerides) that degrade when exposed to enzymes in the

mouth. All of these materials are commercially available. Preferably the coating constitutes no more than about 10% of the particle diameter.

The anti-microbial agent may be dispersed throughout the particle along with the degradable material, enclosed within a skin composed of the degradable material, or attached to a skin composed of the degradable material.

- 10 -

In the latter embodiment, the degradable material and the anti-microbial agent may have opposite ionic charges and the anti-microbial agent may be adsorbed onto the skin by ionic bonding.

The preferred particles are microspheres that have an average diameter between 0.05 μm and 100 μm , more preferably between 0.1 μm and 30 μm . If the particles are too large they will too easily wash away from oral surfaces and thus will be less likely to settle subgingivally.

10

The preferred particles can be made by numerous conventional, well-known methods. These include solvent evaporation methods, with 15 or without a surface active agent as necessary, coacervation in all its various forms, pan coating, air-suspension coating, press coating, spray-drying, rotational suspension-separation techniques, melt coating methods, interfacial 20 polymerization, melt-granulation processes and any and all related methods that yield the desired particles as described. Such methods may or may not use organic solvents. Such methods may encapsulate from solution, from the 25 melt or in powdered (solid state) form. Once formed, the particles may be chemically modified (e.g., charged or made magnetic). The particles are then coated with a water stable material. See, for example, the particle-making and 30 particle-coating procedures described generally in Parrott, Pharmaceutical Technology, pp. 86-91 (Burgess Pub. Co. 1970); Deasy, Microencapsulation and Related Drug Procedures, pp. 1-60 (Marcel Dekker, Inc. 1984); Muller et 35 al., J. Contr lled Release, 20 (1992):237-246; P karek et al., Nature, v. 367 (1994):258-60;

20

25

30

35

Muller et al., <u>Pharm. Pharmacol. Lett.</u> v. 3 (1993):67-70; and Juliano (ed.), <u>Drug Delivery Systems</u> (Oxford University Press 1980).

The preferred particles can be placed in the mouth of a patient, e.g., by a dental instrument, or can be delivered to the mouth during routine dental hygiene, e.g., using a toothbrush, dental floss, oral rinse or toothpaste. Once the particles are in the oral 10 cavity, they will settle out around the gumline, settle subgingivally, adhere to soft tissue and become immobilized in these areas. The coating can be partially removed during administration of the particles to the mouth, or subsequently 15 during chewing or brushing. If the coating is a material that degrades when contacted with enzymes in the mouth, the coating will additionally (or solely) be removed by degradation after exposure to the enzymes.

Once the particle has settled, various release mechanisms are possible. The operative release mechanism(s) will depend upon the formulation of the particle. Thus, as the degradable material erodes, anti-microbial agent is released. This site-specific release can continue (12 hr to several weeks to several months) until the entire particle is degraded or washed from the mouth or swallowed. If the latter occurs, final degradation will occur in the stomach and/or gastrointestinal tract. Thus, no long term build-up of particles systemically should occur.

The following are examples of the procedures used to make and administer particles of the present invention.

PCT/US95/13337

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Example 1: Degradable Particles

Degradable particles consisting of poly(DL-lactide)-co-glycolide, 80:20, were prepared according to the following procedure:

- 25 mg poly(DL-lactide)-coglycolide were dissolved in 2 ml methylene chloride.
- A 1 wt % solution of polyvinylalcohol (87-89% hydrolyzed) was prepared.
- 3. The methylene chloride solution was added (all at once) to 30 ml of the 1 % polyvinylalcohol solution.
- 4. The resulting emulsion was vortexed for 1 minute and then sonicated for 1 minute, providing a turbid emulsion.
- 5. The turbid emulsion was placed in a large-mouthed flask and stirred under medium speed to allow the methylene chloride to evaporate. The resulting white microparticles were allowed to settle, washed with water, and freeze dried. The particles had a particle size of 30-100 μm.

Example 2: Coating Degradable Particles

- The particles from Example 1 were emulsified in 30 ml of 1 wt % polyvinylalcohol solution.
- 10 mg of polystyrene were dissolved in 2 ml of methylene chloride.
- 3. The polystyrene solution was added (all at once) to the polyvinylalcohol soluti n.

PCT/US95/13337

- 13 -

WO 96/11666

| | 4. | The resulting emulsion was |
|----|----|-----------------------------------|
| | | vortexed for 1 minute and then |
| | | sonicated for 1 minute, providing |
| | | a turbid emulsion. |
| 5 | 5. | The turbid emulsion was placed in |
| | | a large-mouthed flask and stirred |
| | | under medium speed to evaporate |
| | | the methylene chloride. The |
| | | resulting microparticles were |
| 10 | | centrifuged, washed, and freeze- |
| | | dried. |
| | 6. | The coated particles were viewed |
| | | using a high-power microscope. |
| | | The core of degradable material |
| 15 | | and the polystyrene coating were |
| | | clearly visible. |
| | | egradable Particles Including |
| | 1. | 10 mg of chlorhexidene gluconate |
| 20 | | were added to 5 ml of methylene |
| | | chloride. The mixture was |
| | | sonicated to reduce the particle |
| | | size of the chlorhexidene |
| | | gluconate. 50 mg of the |
| 25 | | degradable polymer described in |
| | | Example 1 were added, and the |
| | | resulting mixture was vortexed to |
| | | dissolve the polymer. |
| | 2. | The methylene chloride solution |
| 30 | | was added (all at once) to the |
| | | polyvinylalcohol solution. |
| | 3. | The resulting emulsion was |
| | | vortexed for 1 minute and then |
| | | sonicated for 1 minute, providing |
| 35 | | a turbid emulsion. |
| | 4. | The turbid emulsion was placed in |
| | | a large-mouthed flask and stirred |

PCT/US95/13337 WO 96/11666

- 14 -

under medium speed to evaporate
the methylene chloride. The
resulting microparticles were
centrifuged, washed, and freezedried. The particles then can be
coated by the same procedure
described in Example 2.

Example 4: Degradable Particles Including Chlorhexidene (Free-Base)

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

- 1. 10 mg of chlorhexidene (free-base)
 were emulsified in 5 ml of
 methylene chloride. The emulsion
 was sonicated to reduce particle
 size of the chlorhexidene.
 - 50 mg of the degradable polymer described in Example 1 were added. The mixture was vortexed to dissolve the polymer.
 - 3. The resulting emulsion was poured into 40 ml of 1% polyvinylalcohol solution, and the resulting mixture vortexed and sonicated, providing a turbid emulsion.
 - 4. The turbid emulsion was placed in a large-mouthed flask and stirred under medium speed to evaporate the methylene chloride. The resulting microparticles were centrifuged, washed, and freezedried. The particles then can be coated by the same procedure described in Example 2.

Example 5: Degradable Particles Including Chlorhexidene (Free-Base)

- 10 mg of chlorhexidene (free-base) were dissolved in 5 ml of ethyl acetate.
 - 2. 50 mg of the degradabl polymer

PCT/US95/13337

5

10

15

described in Example 1 were added. The resulting mixture was vortexed to dissolve the polymer.

- 3. The resulting solution was poured into 40 ml of 1% polyvinylalcohol solution, and the mixture vortexed and sonicated, providing a turbid emulsion.
- 4. The turbid emulsion was placed in a large-mouthed flask and stirred under medium speed to evaporate the ethyl acetate. The resulting microparticles were centrifuged, washed, and freeze-dried. The particles then can be coated by the same procedure described in Example 2.

Other embodiments are within the claims. For example, the entire degradable particle can be composed of the anti-microbial agent and a material like glycerol distearate that is water stable but degrades when exposed to mouth enzymes.

- 16 -

CLAIMS

1. A method for inhibiting bacteria in the mouth of a patient, comprising:

placing a particle comprising a

5 degradable material and an anti-microbial agent in the mouth of a patient, said particle having a water-stable exterior,

said degradable material, after said particle is placed in said mouth, degrading to cause release of said anti-microbial agent, thereby inhibiting bacteria in said mouth of said patient.

10

15

20

- 2. The method of claim 1, wherein said anti-microbial agent is chlorhexidene or an acceptable salt thereof.
- 3. The method of claim 1, wherein said degradable material is a degradable polymer.
- 4. The method of claim 1, wherein said degradable polymer is selected from the group consisting of polyglycolic acid, polylactic acid, copolymers of glycolic acid and lactic acid, and glycerol distearate.
- 5. The method of claim 1, wherein said water-stable exterior is in the form of a non25 porous coating comprising a water stable material which does not significantly degrade when contacted with enzymes found in the mouth.
- 6. The method of claim 5, wherein said water-stable material is selected from the group consisting of poly(methyl methacrylate), polystyrene, and waxes.
 - 7. The method of claim 1, wherein said water-stable exterior is in the form of a non-porous coating which degrades when contacted with enzymes found in the mouth.
- 8. The m thod of claim 7, wherein said water-stable material comprises glycerol

- 17 -

distearate.

- 9. The method of claim 1, wherein said degradable material is a material which is water stable.
- 10. The method of claim 9, wherein said degradable material comprises glycerol distesrate.
 - 11. The method of claim 1, wherein said anti-microbial agent and said degradable
- material are dispersed throughout said particle. 10 12. The method of claim 1, wherein said
 - particle is a microsphere.
 - The method of claim 1, wherein said particle has an average diameter between 0.05 µm
- 15 and 100 μ m, inclusive.
 - 14. The method of claim 13, wherein said particle has an average diameter between 0.1 μ m and 30 μ m, inclusive.
 - 15. The method of claim 1, wherein said
- 20 particle comprises between 1 percent and 75 percent of said anti-microbial agent by weight.
 - A toothbrush comprising a handle and a head with bristles, wherein said bristles comprise particles comprising a degradable
- material and an anti-microbial agent, said 25 particle having a water-stable exterior.
 - 17. Dental floss comprising an elongated length of flexible cord comprising particles comprising a degradable material and an anti-
- microbial agent, said particle having a water-30 stable exterior.
 - 18. Toothpaste comprising particles comprising a degradable material and an antimicrobial agent, said particle having a water-
- 35 stable exterior.
 - An ral rinse suitable f r use in oral care, comprising

PCT/US95/13337

- 18 -

water and
particles comprising a degradable
material and an anti-microbial agent, said
particles having a water-stable exterior.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inter nat Application No PCT/US 95/13337

| Patent document cited in search report | Publication date | Patent mem | family ber(s) | Publication date |
|--|---|---------------|------------------|---------------------|
| WO-A-9311754 | 24-06-93 | CA-A- | 2125483 | 24-06-93 |
| | • | EP-A- | 0616526 | 28-09-94 |
| | | FI-A- | 942765 | 10-06-94 |
| | | NO-A- | 942170 | 10-06-94 |
| | | US-A- | 5286496 | 15-02-94 |
| | | -A-2U | 5382424 | 17-01-95 |
| EP-A-0244118 | 04-11-87 | US-A- | 4780320 | 25-10-88 |
| | * . 4 | AU-B- | 614061 | 22-08-91 |
| | | AU-B- | 7211287 | 05-11-87 |
| | | DE-D- | 3787829 | 25-11-93 |
| | | DE-T- | 3787829 | 11-05-94 |
| | | JP-A- | 63027422 | 05-02-88 |
| | | NO-B- | 177125 | 18-04-95 |
| | | US-A- | 4919939 | 24-04-90 |
| WO-A-9220319 | 26-11-92 | FR-A- | 2676362 | 20-11-92 |
| WO-A-9305680 | 01-04-93 | US-A- | 5186927 | 16-02-93 |
| | 5 - 1 · 1 · 1 | AU-B- | 2573492 | 27-04-93 |
| | | CN-A- | 1076078 | 15-09-93 |
| | | DE-T- | 4293451 | 08-09-94 |
| | | US-A- | 5250288 | 05-10-93 |
| | | US-A- | 5300290 | 05-04-94 |
| | | US-A- | 5211939 | 18-05-93 |
| | | US-A- | 5320842 | 14-06-94 |
| | | ZA-A- | 9206907 | 16-03-93 |
| US-A-4867988 | 19-09-89 | NONE | | |

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inter nal Application No PCT/US 95/13337

| A C1 45 | SELECTION OF SUBJECT | PCT/US 95/ | 13337 |
|--|---|---|---|
| ÎPC 6 | SSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER A61K7/16 A61K7/22 A61K9 | 0/16 A61K31/155 | |
| According | to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national | classification and IPC | |
| | OS SEARCHED | | |
| IPC 6 | ······ | | |
| | abon searched other than minimum documentation to the extent data base consulted during the international search (name of dat | | rched |
| | | | |
| C. DOCUM | MENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT | | |
| Category * | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of t | he relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
| K | WO-A-93 11754 (PROCTER & GAMBLE 1993 * see claims 1,2; page 2, lines | | 1-3,5-7, 9,11-15, 19 |
| , | page 3, lines 1-20; example 2 * ", page 1, lines 9-17 * | • | 16-18 |
| EP-A-0 244 118 (PHARMETRIX CORP) 4 November 1987 * see claims 1,5,7,8; page 5, lines 1-30; page 8, line 16 - page 14, line 2 * | | ines 1-30: | 1-7,9, 11-15 |
| | * 11 * | | 16-18 |
| | WO-A-92 20319 (OREAL) 26 Novemb | er 1992 | 1,2,9, 11-15,18 |
| | * see claims 1-5, 12, 15 * | -/ | |
| X Furth | er documents are listed in the continuation of box C. | X Patent family members are listed in an | nex. |
| document consider | gories of cited documents: nt defining the general state of the art which is not red to be of paracular relevance ocument but published on or after the international | "I" later document published after the internal or provided and not in conflict with the cited to understand the principle or theory invention | e application but underlying the |
| document which is citation | nt which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another or other special reason (as specified) at referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or | "X" document of particular relevance; the claim cannot be considered novel or cannot be con- involve an inventive step when the docum- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claim cannot be commissed to involve an inventi- document is combined with one or more o- ments, such combination being obvious to | onsidered to mt is taken alone ned invention we step when the ther such docu- |
| Later City | at published prior to the international filing date but in the priority date claimed | in the art. '&' document member of the same patent fami | • |
| | January 1996 | Date of mailing of the international search 0 8, 02, 96 | report |
| me and ma | uling address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5118 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Riprojit Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni, | Authorized officer | |
| | Pax: (+31-70) 340-3016 | Isert, B | |

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inter Aut Application No PCT/US 95/13337

| | | PC1703 937 | |
|---------|--|------------|-----------------------|
| | tion) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT | T s | Lelevant to claim No. |
| alegory | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | | |
| 1 | WO-A-93 05680 (GILLETTE CANADA) 1 April 1993 | | 16-18 |
| | * see the whole document * " " | | 1-15,19 |
| | US-A-4 867 988 (CHERNACK MILTON P) 19 September 1989 * see claim 1 * | | 1-19 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | ÷ | |